IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

CWP NO. 15446 of 2006

DATE OF DECISION: 26.9.2006

Darshana Kumari and others ..Petitioners

Versus

State of Punjab and others ....Respondents.

CORAM: HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE J.S. KHEHAR
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE S.D. ANAND

PRESENT: Mr. R.K. Chopra,, Advocate for the petitioners.

J.S. Khehar, J. (oral)

Although in the instant writ petition, the claim of the petitioners is that the petitioners should be promoted to the posts of Principals in the PES Class-II, on account of the fact that persons junior to the petitioners have already been promoted without considering the claims of the petitioners, yet it is specifically reiterated again and again that the petitioners do not claim promotion retrospectively.

It is apparent that on account of the aforesaid concession recorded at the hands of the petitioners, the promotion of persons junior to the petitioners will be irrelevant to the controversy now raised by the petitioners.

Learned counsel for the petitioners states that even now the petitioners should be promoted as vacancies are available to accommodate them in the cadre of Principals in the PES Class-II. It is not possible to accept the instant contention of the learned counsel for the petitioners. As

and when promotions are made to the aforesaid cadre, the claim of the petitioners is bound to be considered, and if they are not considered, it will be open to the petitioners to approach this Court for the redressal of their grievances, if any, in accordance with law.

Disposed of accordingly.

(J.S. Khehar)
Judge

(S.D. Anand)
Judge

September 26, 2006.
vig